

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,633	12/26/2003		Igor V. Touzov	1632	
34185	7590	01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
IGOR V T			HOPKINS, ROBERT A		
311 CASTLE HAYNE DRIVE CARY, NC 27519				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				1724	
				DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	A 11 41 A	T A 10	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,633	TOUZOV, IGOR V.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert A. Hopkins	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or explication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the example of the policinary not request that any objection to the policinary not request that the policinary not request that any objection to the policinary not request that the policinary not request the policinary not request the policinary not request the polici	wn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the f		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-24-03	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Oath/Declaration

A new oath or declaration is required because the declaration is not signed. The wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended. If the wording is not correct or if all of the required affirmations have not been made or if it has not been properly subscribed to, a new oath or declaration is required. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

Art Unit: 1724

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1,3,4, and 11, drawn to a method of containment or enclosure, classified in class 95, subclass 116.
- II. Claims 2 and 5, drawn to containment appartus, classified in class 206, subclass .7.
- III. Claims 6 and 10, drawn to apparatus for containment, classified in class206, subclass .7.
- IV. Claims 7-9, drawn to containment apparatus, classified in class 206, subclass .7.
- V. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to apparatus that controls vapor pressure, classified in class 96, subclass 188.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the containment apparatus can be used for another process which does not employ the substances of claim 1.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

Art Unit: 1724

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus for containment can be used for another process that does not employ the substances of claim 1.

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the containment apparatus can be used for another process that does not employ the substances of claim 1.

Inventions I and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus that controls vapor pressure can be used for another process that does not employ the substances of claim 1.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, wherein the containment apparatus of claim 2 does not require restrictions of not having a power source and maintaining high partial vapor pressure at predetermined level above 98%.

Art Unit: 1724

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions wherein the containment apparatus of claim 2 does not require restrictions of having a power source and maintaining relative pressure of vapors at 98% or above and having a source of saturated or oversaturated vapors.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions wherein the containment apparatus of claim 2 does not require restrictions of controlling vapor pressure near saturation level and using meniscuses curvatures and temperature of fluid to adjust the pressure.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, wherein the apparatus for containment of claim 6 does not require use of a power source and having a source of saturated or oversaturated vapors.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1724

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, wherein the aparatus of claim 6 does not require controlling vapor pressure near saturation level.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, wherein the aparatus of claim 7 does not require controlling vapor pressure near saturation level.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II,III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Hopkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7am-4pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH December 19, 2005 ROBERT A. HOPKINS PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7

A.U.1724